

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MARY WICKENKAMP,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOSTETTER LAW GROUP, LLP;
HOSTETTER KNAPP LLP;
D. ZACHARY HOSTETTER;
D. RAHN HOSTETTER; BRUCE
HAMPTON; VENESE HAMPTON;
KEVIN SALI; DAVID ANGELI;
RENECCA KNAPP; BENJAMIN N.
SOUDEDE; SCOTT HAMPTON; and
ANGELI LAW GROUP,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Papak issued a Findings and Recommendation [133] on July 14, 2016, in which he recommends that this Court grant Defendant Zachary Hostetter's and Hostetter Law Group, LLP's ("the moving HLG defendants") motion to dismiss and/or strike. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

No. 2:15-cv-00296-PK

ORDER

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings & Recommendation [133]. Therefore, for the reasons stated in the record, the Court grants the moving HLG defendants' motion [59] to dismiss and/or to strike both of Plaintiff's claims in their entirety for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction and for failure to comply with this Court's order [45] and otherwise denies Plaintiff's claims as moot. All other pending motions are denied as moot. Plaintiff's claims are dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 17 day of August, 2016.



MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge